92[K].-B. M. Bennett \& C. Horst, Supplement to Tables for Testing Significance in a $2 \times 2$ Contingency Table (Five and One Percent Significance Points for $41 \leqq$ $A \leqq 50, B \leqq A$ ), Cambridge University Press, New York, 1966, 28 pp., 26 cm . Price $\$ 1.00$ (paperbound).
These tables are an extension of Table 2 in D. J. Finney, R. Latscha, B. M. Bennett \& P. Hsu, Tables for Testing Significance in a $2 \times 2$ Contingency Table, Cambridge University Press, 1963, previously reviewed here (Math. Comp., v. 18, 1964, pp. 514-515). The notation is that of the earlier publication. The present table gives the $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ one-tail significant values, $b_{.05}$ and $b .01$, for $41 \leqq A \leqq 50$, $B \leqq A$; the exact probabilities are not given.
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93[K].-F. Zabransky, Masaaki Sibuya \& A. K. Md. Ehsanes Saleh, Tables for Estimation of the Exponential Distribution by the Linear Combinations of the Optimal Subset of Order Statistics, The University of Western Ontario, London, Canada, undated. 184 computer sheets. Copy deposited in UMT file.
If $Y(1)>\cdots>Y(N)$ is a decreasingly ordered sample from the exponential distribution

$$
f(x ; \sigma)=\sigma^{-1} \exp (-x / \sigma), \quad x \geqq 0, \quad \sigma \geqq 0,
$$

then $\sigma$ can be estimated by linear forms

$$
\sigma=B(1) Y\left(n_{1}\right)+\cdots+B(k) Y\left(n_{k}\right)
$$

where

$$
1 \leqq \nu+1 \leqq n_{1}<\cdots<n_{k} \leqq N
$$

which implies that $\nu$ upper observations are censored.
The first three tables give the ranks $n_{i}$, the coefficients $B(i)$, and the inverse of the minimized variance $K^{*}$ of the minimum-variance unbiased estimator. These tables can be used also for lower and doubly censored samples and for the estimation of both $\sigma$ and $\theta$ for the distribution $f(x-\theta ; \sigma)$.

Table 1 (35 pp.) gives $K^{*}$ and $B(i)$, each to 5 D , for $N=1(1) 15, k=1(1) 10$, $N-\nu=k(1) N$.

Table 2 ( 90 pp .) gives 5 D values of $K^{*}$ and $B(i)$ for $N=16(1) 45, k=1(1) 10$, $(N-\nu) / N=0.5(0.1) 1.0$.

Table $3\left(40 \mathrm{pp}\right.$.) gives 4D values of $K^{*}$ and $B(i)$ for $\nu=0(1) 9, k=1(1) 8$, $N=(k+\nu)(1)(k+\nu+23)$.

Table 4 and 5 relate to the asymptotic case $(N \rightarrow \infty)$. For given $k$ and $p=\nu / N$, they give $p_{i}=n_{i} / N, B(i)$, and $V(p, k)$, which is determined by the relation: the minimum variance $=N^{-1} V(p, k)+O\left(N^{-2}\right)$.

Specifically, Table 4 (16 pp.) gives $p_{i}$ to $4 \mathrm{D}, B(i)$ to 4 D and $V(p, k)$ to 5D for $k=1(1) 8, p=0.02(0.02) 0.98$; while Table 5 ( 3 pp .) gives 8 D values of $p_{i}, B(i)$, and $V(p, k)$ for $p=0$ (uncensored) and $k=1(1) 15$.

The data in Tables $1,2,3$, and 5 are accurately rounded; however, Table 4 contains errors attributable to the discrete approximation in the maximizing process. For $p>0.8$ the tabulated values of $p_{i}$ are accurate to 2 D ; for $0.7<p \leqq 0.8, p_{i}$ is accurate to 3 D ; and for smaller values of $p$, the maximum error in $p_{i}$ is $5 \times 10^{-4}$.

The coefficients $B(i)$ are exact in the sense that they give the minimum-variance unbiased linear combination of the order statistics which are chosen according to the table.

The underlying theory, the algorithms used in the tabulation, and a list of previous publications may be found in a paper by Sibuya [1].

Authors' summary

1. M. Sibuya, "Maximization with respect to partition of an interval and its application to the best systematic estimators of the exponential distribution," Ann. Math. Statist. (To appear.)

94[L].-V. A. Ditkin, Editor, Tablitsy Logarifmicheskǒ Proizvadnǒ Gammafunktsii i ee Proizvodnyk̂h v Kompleksnŏ Oblasti, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1965, xiv $+363 \mathrm{pp} ., 27 \mathrm{~cm}$. Price 3.15 roubles.
This volume contains two tables: the first, occupying 320 pages, consists of 7 S decimal approximations to the real and imaginary parts of $\psi(x+i y)$, the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function, for $x=1(0.01) 2$ and $y=0(0.01) 4$; the second, occupying 40 pages, consists of 7 S values (in floating-point form for positive exponent) of the real and imaginary parts of the derivatives $\psi^{(n)}(x+i y)$ for $n=1(1) 10, x=1(0.1) 2$, and $y=0(0.1) 4$. No tabular differences are provided; however, interpolation with second differences is explained and illustrated in the introduction with the aid of a nomogram.

The real and imaginary parts for any argument appear on facing pages, with six tabular columns of 51 lines each on a page, the last column being repeated as the first column on the following page. This format was adopted from that in the tables of Abramov [1] for $\ln \Gamma(x+i y)$, to which the present tables are related, as noted in the preface.

The numerical evaluation of the tabulated functions outside the range of the tabular arguments is discussed, and a number of relevant formulas and series are included.

This reviewer has compared the tabular values herein for $\psi(x+i y)$ when $x=1(0.01) 2, y=0$ with the corresponding entries in the 10 D tables of Davis [2], to which reference is made in the bibliographic list of 10 titles at the end of the introduction. It was thereby discovered that, with very few exceptions, there exists a consistent lack of conventional rounding-up of the final digit in the main table under review. On the other hand, this source of error was not observed in the values of the derivatives of $\psi(z)$ for real argument, which occupy the first line throughout the second table.

As a further check, this reviewer also compared the tabulated values of the real part of $\psi(1+i y)$ for $y=0(0.01) 4$ with the corresponding 10 D values in Table II of the NBS tables of Coulomb wave functions [3], and the same general lack of conventional rounding was again observed in the Russian table.

We are informed in the preface that these tables were computed on the electronic

